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In this department, hot topics in nursing outcomes, research, and evidence-based practice relevant to the nurse administrator are highlighted. The goal is to discuss the practical implications for nurse leaders in diverse healthcare settings. Content includes evidence-based projects and decision making, locating measurement tools for quality improvement and safety projects, using outcome measures to evaluate quality, practice implications of administrative research, and exemplars of projects that demonstrate innovative approaches to organizational problems.

In a recent evidence-based practice (EBP) workshop, a nurse executive asked: “What is the difference between EBP and QI and benchmarking?” In a different workshop, another asked: “Do I need an institutional review board approval for my EBP project?” It becomes confusing when organizational EBP, QI, and research activities are all referred to as EBP. The issue is that these activities often overlap. This column assesses the unique and overlapping relationships among EBP, QI, and research. Definitions are provided in Figure 1. Using an organizational problem of increased pressure ulcer rates, examples of each approach are provided in Figure 2.

Research
Research is a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge. Because nursing research is underdeveloped in a number of areas, scientific evidence (research) is not available to inform practice when a problem emerges or questions are raised about nursing processes included in organizational policies. The research process includes identification of the problem, selection of a conceptual framework or theoretical model that describes the relationships between study variables, generation of hypotheses or research questions, and a plan for the study design and method. The design and method are based on the state of knowledge of the problem and the gap in the evidence. The design frames the appropriate research approach (experimental, quasi-experimental, or nonexperimental). The sample consists of the number and type of subjects needed to identify a statistically significant difference if one exists. The method includes appropriate controls, including measures or instruments with adequate estimates of reliability and validity. Standard research procedures are established that include a plan for interventions, measurement, data collection, and statistical analysis. Institutional review board approval is obtained before implementation of the research protocol.

The design and methods of research seek to control as many variables as possible so that a link is established between the intervention (or concept of interest) and effect (or outcome). Using a well-planned and implemented research approach to solve a clinical, administrative, or education
problem informs decisions in healthcare organizations, extending beyond lessons learned in one organization, to generalizable knowledge that can be applied in similar settings.

Quality Improvement
Quality improvement is a process by which individuals work together to improve systems and processes with the intention to improve outcomes. A data-driven systematic approach to improving care locally.

Evidence-Based Practice
A problem-solving approach to clinical decision-making within a health care organization that integrates the best available scientific evidence with the best available experiential (patient and practitioner) evidence, considers internal and external influences on practice, and encourages critical thinking in the judicious application of such evidence to care of the individual patient, patient population, or system.
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Figure 1. Definitions.

Research
A systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.

Quality Improvement
Quality improvement is a process by which individuals work together to improve systems and processes with the intention to improve outcomes. A data-driven systematic approach to improving care locally.

Evidence-Based Practice
A problem-solving approach to clinical decision-making within a health care organization that integrates the best available scientific evidence with the best available experiential (patient and practitioner) evidence, considers internal and external influences on practice, and encourages critical thinking in the judicious application of such evidence to care of the individual patient, patient population, or system.

Note that this approach uses the best available evidence, not one source of evidence that supports current practice. A rigorous search strategy is used, followed by retrieval and review of evidence that includes grading the strength and quality, and then applying the results through implementation and evaluation of the recommendations. This definition includes the organization’s experience.

Experiential evidence extends beyond the individual provider or patient, to activities such as QI, benchmarking, or organizational or program outcome monitoring. Rycroft-Malone et al call this organizational evidence “local context” and suggest that far more work is needed to understand how this type of evidence is collected and incorporated with other types of evidence to inform healthcare decisions.
The Overlapping Relationships

Research and QI (as a form of experiential evidence) both inform EBP. Research provides a higher level of evidence than QI and is the major source of evidence in the medical discipline. Quality improvement provides real-life experience and descriptive data within the context of the organization, making the rapid cycle approach and evaluation of outcomes very actionable.

However, there are 2 major problems with using QI data as a source of evidence.

First, usually, the QI process does not meet fundamental standards for the conduct or publication of research. Second, the interventions used in QI processes often are not based on theory that predicts their success. These deficiencies in the QI process produce results that are not transferable to other organizations (generalizable) and do not measure variables or data that are needed to explain the results, designs that lack the ability to draw causal inferences, and a number of additional weaknesses (threats to internal validity).

Research and EBP processes both inform QI. When developing strategies to improve outcomes in QI initiatives, research evidence is reviewed, and an intervention or interventions are selected to improve the likelihood of success for the change. Individual research studies may be used to inform QI action, as well as the recommendations from an EBP evidence review. The evidence review may contain scientific (such as experimental studies) or experiential (such as consensus or expert opinion) sources. Scientific evidence (research) provides a higher level of generalizability or application to similar settings than experiential evidence.

Evidence-based practice and QI both inform opportunities for research. As the team evaluates the QI outcomes and lessons learned in their rapid cycle
improvements, they may identify descriptive improvements in areas where there are gaps in the evidence to support the need for research to test a new intervention. Likewise, during the evidence review and synthesis phase of the EBP process, gaps in knowledge are identified. These gaps provide the opportunity to generate research questions or hypothesis and design a research study to measure the association or differences between variables.

Conclusion

Major forces drive the need for nurses to demonstrate basic and advanced competency in EBP, QI, and research. These forces include disparities and deficits in quality of care for patients, increasing evidence to support the effectiveness of interventions, national efforts to standardize performance measures, and a focus on improving the healthcare work environments.

Efforts to improve work environments necessitate that we apply evidence to healthcare delivery, align payment policies with QI, and prepare the workforce. Applying evidence to practice requires that we apply scientific knowledge systematically, building infrastructure to support decision making, setting goals for improvement, and developing measures to assess quality. Preparing the workforce involves developing competencies in QI, EBP, informatics, patient-centered care, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

To advance quality, an interdisciplinary common vision, language, and processes are required. Research, QI, and EBP are tools to identify and describe problems, explain relationships between factors of interest, and implement interventions or strategies with a clear rationale. Nurse executives have an important role in diffusing the confusion between EBP, QI, and research; building collaborative relationships; and establishing organizational infrastructure to support continued improvements in healthcare quality. A precursor to leading is understanding the distinct differences, yet overlapping associations, between these three important activities.
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